

LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD
Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE, 79TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

April 9, 2005

TO: Honorable Robert Duncan, Chair, Senate Committee on State Affairs

FROM: John S. O'Brien, Deputy Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: SB15 by Janek (Relating to civil claims involving exposure to asbestos and silica.), **As Introduced**

No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would adopt medically accepted standards for differentiating between individuals with asbestos-related or silica-related disease causing functional impairment and individuals with no functional impairment. The bill would establish medical credentials necessary before a physician could evaluate an individual allegedly suffering from impairment due to exposure to asbestos or silica, and provide a method to dismiss lawsuits in which the exposed person had no functional impairment.

The bill would establish a specific period of limitation in which to bring claims for a asbestos-related to silica-related injury or death. Cause of action would begin accruing either on the date of the exposed person's death or the date that the claimant served a report, whichever came earlier.

The bill would establish reporting requirements for claims involving asbestos-related injury and claims involving silica-related injury, and the bill would establish deadlines for serving reports. If a claimant did not provide a report in a timely manner, or was not compliant with reporting requirements, the bill would establish dismissal procedures.

The bill would prohibit entities that offer a health benefit plan or an annuity or life insurance policy or contract from rejecting, denying, limiting, canceling, refusing to renew or increasing the premiums for, or otherwise adversely affecting a person's eligibility for or coverage under the health benefit plan due to exposure to asbestos or silica or because they filed a claim.

The bill may result in the dismissal of a number of civil suits based on exposure to asbestos or silica; however, the reduction in pending cases is not anticipated to have a significant fiscal implication to the state.

The bill would take effect immediately assuming it received the requisite two-thirds majority votes in both houses of the Legislature. Otherwise, the bill would take effect September 1, 2005.

Local Government Impact

The bill may result in the dismissal of a number of civil suits based on exposure to asbestos or silica; however, the reduction in pending cases is not anticipated to have a significant fiscal impact on units of local government.

Source Agencies: 201 Supreme Court of Texas, 212 Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council, 302 Office of the Attorney General, 304 Comptroller of Public Accounts, 307 Secretary of State, 503 Board of Medical Examiners, 582 Commission on Environmental Quality

LBB Staff: JOB, SR, ZS, TB